

(Translation for reference purpose only)

Japan's Modified International Standards (JMIS): Accounting Standards  
Comprising IFRSs and the ASBJ Modifications

ASBJ Modification Accounting Standard No. 2

**Accounting for Other Comprehensive Income**

30 June 2015

Accounting Standards Board of Japan

| <b>Contents</b>  | <b>Paragraph</b> |
|--|------------------|
| <b>Objective</b> . . . . .   | 1                |
| <b>Accounting Standard</b> . . . . .   | 4                |
| <b>Accounting</b> . . . . .  | 4                |
| Changes in the fair value of investments in equity instruments measured at fair value through other comprehensive income . . . . .                                   | 4                |
| Changes in the fair value of financial liabilities measured at fair value through profit or loss attributed to the changes in the issuer's own credit risk . . . . . | 5                |
| Remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset) . . . . .  | 6                |
| <b>Presentation</b> . . . . .  | 7                |
| Remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset) . . . . .  | 8                |
| <b>Disclosure</b> . . . . .  | 9                |
| Changes in the fair value of investments in equity instruments measured at fair value through other comprehensive income . . . . .                                   | 9                |
| Changes in the fair value of financial liabilities measured at fair value through profit or loss attributed to the changes in the issuer's own credit risk . . . . . | 10               |
| Remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset) . . . . .  | 11               |
| <b>Effective Date</b> . . . . .  | 12               |
| <b>Approval by the Board</b> . . . . .   | 13               |
| <b>Basis for Conclusions</b> . . . . .   | 14               |
| History of the project . . . . .   | 14               |
| Necessity of recycling of other comprehensive income . . . . .   | 16               |

**(Translation for reference purpose only)**

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| <b>Changes in the fair value of investments in equity instruments measured at fair value through other comprehensive income . . . . .</b>                                   | <b>21</b> |
| <b>Changes in the fair value of financial liabilities measured at fair value through profit or loss attributed to the changes in the issuer's own credit risk . . . . .</b> | <b>26</b> |
| <b>Remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset) . . . . .</b>  | <b>29</b> |

## (Translation for reference purpose only)

### Objective

1. This Standard forms part of “Japan’s Modified International Standards (JMIS): Accounting Standards Comprising IFRSs and the ASBJ Modifications”. The objective of this Standard is to make ‘deletions or modifications’ to the Standards and Interpretations (collectively referred to as ‘Standards’) issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (‘IASB’) relating to the accounting for other comprehensive income and related presentation and disclosures.
2. The basis for conclusions accompanies, but does not form part of, this Standard.
3. [This paragraph is not used for the English version.]

### Accounting Standard

#### Accounting

#### **Changes in the fair value of investments in equity instruments measured at fair value through other comprehensive income**

4. ‘Deletions or modifications’ shall be made to the requirements regarding the accounting for changes in the fair value of investments in equity instruments measured at fair value through other comprehensive income in IFRS 9 *Financial Instruments* (2010) (‘IFRS 9 (2010)’) in the following manner (new text is underlined and deleted text is struck through):

~~J-5.7.6A A gain or loss on an investment in an equity instrument for which an irrevocable election was made in accordance with paragraph 5.7.5 shall be recognised in other comprehensive income except for impairment losses (see paragraph J-5.7.6B) until the investment is derecognised. When the investment is derecognised, the cumulative gain or loss previously recognised in other comprehensive income shall be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to profit or loss as a reclassification adjustment.~~

~~J-5.7.6B An entity shall assess at the end of each reporting period whether there is any objective evidence that an investment in an equity instrument for which an irrevocable election was made in accordance with paragraph 5.7.5 is impaired. If any such evidence exists, the cumulative loss previously recognised in other comprehensive income shall be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to profit or loss as a reclassification adjustment.~~

~~J-5.7.6C In addition to the types of events listed in paragraph 59 of IAS 39, objective evidence of~~

## **(Translation for reference purpose only)**

impairment for an investment in an equity instrument includes information about significant changes with adverse effects that have taken place in the technological, market, economic or legal environment in which the issuer operates, and indicates that the cost of the investment in the equity instrument may not be recovered. A significant or prolonged decline in the fair value of an investment in an equity instrument below its cost is also objective evidence of impairment.

J-5.7.6D The amount of the cumulative loss that is reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to profit or loss under paragraph J-5.7.6B shall be the difference between the acquisition cost and current fair value, less any impairment loss on that investment in an equity instrument previously recognised in profit or loss.

J-5.7.6E Impairment losses recognised in profit or loss for an investment in an equity instrument for which an irrevocable election was made in accordance with paragraph 5.7.5 shall not be reversed.

B5.7.1 Paragraph 5.7.5 permits an entity to make an irrevocable election to present in other comprehensive income changes in the fair value of an investment in an equity instrument that is not held for trading. This election is made on an instrument-by-instrument (ie share-by-share) basis. ~~Amounts presented in other comprehensive income shall not be subsequently transferred to profit or loss. However, the entity may transfer the cumulative gain or loss within equity.~~ Dividends on such investments are recognised in profit or loss in accordance with IAS 18 unless the dividend clearly represents a recovery of part of the cost of the investment.

### **Changes in the fair value of financial liabilities measured at fair value through profit or loss attributed to the changes in the issuer's own credit risk**

5. 'Deletions or modifications' shall be made to the requirements regarding the accounting for changes in the fair value of financial liabilities measured at fair value through profit or loss attributed to the changes in the issuer's own credit risk in IFRS 9 (2010) in the following manner (new text is underlined and deleted text is struck through):

J-5.7.9A When the financial liability designated as at fair value through profit or loss in accordance with paragraph 5.7.7 is derecognised, the cumulative gain or loss previously recognised in other comprehensive income shall be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive

## (Translation for reference purpose only)

income to profit or loss as a reclassification adjustment.

If an entity repays or repurchases a portion of a financial liability, the entity shall allocate the cumulative gain or loss previously recognised in other comprehensive income between the portion that continues to be recognised and the portion that is derecognised based on the relative fair values of those portions on the date of the repayment or the repurchase. The amount allocated to the portion that is derecognised shall be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to profit or loss as a reclassification adjustment.

B5.7.9 ~~[Deleted] Amounts presented in other comprehensive income shall not be subsequently transferred to profit or loss. However, the entity may transfer the cumulative gain or loss within equity.~~

### **Remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset)**

6. 'Deletions or modifications' shall be made to the requirements regarding the accounting for remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset) in IAS 19 *Employee Benefits* in the following manner (new text is underlined and deleted text is struck through):

57 Accounting by an entity for defined benefit plans involves the following steps:

- (a) determining the deficit or surplus. This involves:
  - (i) using an actuarial technique, the projected unit credit method, to make a reliable estimate of the ultimate cost to the entity of the benefit that employees have earned in return for their service in the current and prior periods (see paragraphs 67–69). This requires an entity to determine how much benefit is attributable to the current and prior periods (see paragraphs 70–74) and to make estimates (actuarial assumptions) about demographic variables (such as employee turnover and mortality) and financial variables (such as future increases in salaries and medical costs) that will affect the cost of the benefit (see paragraphs 75–98).
  - (ii) discounting that benefit in order to determine the present value of the defined benefit obligation and the current service cost (see paragraphs 67–69 and 83–86).
  - (iii) deducting the fair value of any plan assets (see paragraphs 113–115) from the present value of the defined benefit obligation.

## (Translation for reference purpose only)

- (b) determining the amount of the net defined benefit liability (asset) as the amount of the deficit or surplus determined in (a), adjusted for any effect of limiting a net defined benefit asset to the asset ceiling (see paragraph 64).
- (c) determining amounts to be recognised in profit or loss, except for the amount to be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to profit or loss as a reclassification adjustment determined in accordance with J-(e):
  - (i) current service cost (see paragraphs 70–74).
  - (ii) any past service cost and gain or loss on settlement (see paragraphs 99–112).
  - (iii) net interest on the net defined benefit liability (asset) (see paragraphs 123–126).
- (d) determining the remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset), to be recognised in other comprehensive income, comprising:
  - (i) actuarial gains and losses (see paragraphs 128 and 129);
  - (ii) return on plan assets, excluding amounts included in net interest on the net defined benefit liability (asset) (see paragraph 130); and
  - (iii) any change in the effect of the asset ceiling (see paragraph 64), excluding amounts included in net interest on the net defined benefit liability (asset).

J-(e) determining the amount within the cumulative amount of remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset) that was recognised in other comprehensive income and accumulated in a separate component of equity to be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to profit or loss as a reclassification adjustment (see paragraphs J-122A and J-122B).

Where an entity has more than one defined benefit plan, the entity applies these procedures for each material plan separately.

120 An entity shall recognise the components of defined benefit cost, except to the extent that another IFRS requires or permits their inclusion in the cost of an asset, as follows:

- (a) service cost (see paragraphs 66–112) in profit or loss;
- (b) net interest on the net defined benefit liability (asset) (see paragraphs 123–126) in profit or loss; ~~and~~

## (Translation for reference purpose only)

(c) remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset) (see paragraphs 127–130) in other comprehensive income; ~~and~~

~~J-(d) the amount within the cumulative amount of remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset) that was recognised in other comprehensive income and accumulated in a separate component of equity to be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to profit or loss as a reclassification adjustment (see paragraphs J-122A and J-122B) in profit or loss.~~

122 ~~[Deleted] Remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset) recognised in other comprehensive income shall not be reclassified to profit or loss in a subsequent period. However, the entity may transfer those amounts recognised in other comprehensive income within equity.~~

~~J-122A Any incurred amount of remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset) that was recognised in other comprehensive income and accumulated in a separate component of equity shall generally be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to profit or loss as a reclassification adjustment over the average remaining working lives of the employees (the estimated average period between now and retirement).~~

~~Notwithstanding the above, an entity is permitted to begin the reclassification to profit or loss as a reclassification adjustment from the period following the period in which remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset) have incurred.~~

~~J-122B When a defined benefit plan is withdrawn or curtailed, the cumulative amount of remeasurements of the net defined liability (asset) that was recognised in other comprehensive income and accumulated in a separate component of equity that relates to the portion of the defined benefit plan that is withdrawn or curtailed, shall be determined based on a reasonable method, such as the relative present value of the defined benefit liability as of the date when the withdrawal or curtailment occurs. Such amounts shall be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to profit or loss as a reclassification adjustment.~~

## Presentation

7. 'Deletions or modifications' shall be made to the requirements in IAS 1 *Presentation of Financial Statements* in the following manner (new text is underlined and deleted text is struck through):

## (Translation for reference purpose only)

96       Reclassification adjustments do not arise on changes in revaluation surplus recognised in accordance with IAS 16 or IAS 38 ~~or on remeasurements of defined benefit plans recognised in accordance with IAS 19~~. These components are recognised in other comprehensive income and are not reclassified to profit or loss in subsequent periods. Changes in revaluation surplus may be transferred to retained earnings in subsequent periods as the asset is used or when it is derecognised (see IAS 16 and IAS 38).

### **Remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset)**

8. 'Deletions or modifications' shall be made to the requirements regarding the presentation of remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset) in IAS 19 in the following manner (new text is underlined and deleted text is struck through):

134       Paragraph 120 requires an entity to recognise service cost and net interest on the net defined benefit liability (asset) in profit or loss and reclassify the cumulative amount of remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset) that was recognised in other comprehensive income and accumulated in a separate component of equity from accumulated other comprehensive income to profit or loss as a reclassification adjustment. This Standard does not specify how an entity should present service cost, ~~and~~ net interest on the net defined benefit liability (asset) and the amount within the cumulative amount of remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset) to be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to profit or loss as a reclassification adjustment. An entity presents those components in accordance with IAS 1.

## **Disclosure**

### **Changes in the fair value of investments in equity instruments measured at fair value through other comprehensive income**

9. 'Deletions or modifications' shall be made to the requirements regarding the disclosure of changes in the fair value of investments in equity instruments measured at fair value through other comprehensive income in IFRS 7 *Financial Instruments: Disclosures* in the following manner (new text is underlined and deleted text is struck through):

11A       If an entity has designated investments in equity instruments to be measured at fair value through other comprehensive income, as permitted by paragraph 5.7.5 of IFRS 9, it shall disclose:

- (a) which investments in equity instruments have been designated to be measured at fair value through other comprehensive income.



## **(Translation for reference purpose only)**

- (b) the reasons for using this presentation alternative.
- (c) the fair value of each such investment at the end of the reporting period.
- (d) dividends recognised during the period, showing separately those related to investments derecognised during the reporting period and those related to investments held at the end of the reporting period.
- (e) ~~[Deleted] any transfers of the cumulative gain or loss within equity during the period including the reason for such transfers.~~

20 An entity shall disclose the following items of income, expense, gains or losses either in the statement of comprehensive income or in the notes:

- (a) net gains or net losses on:
  - ...
  - (vii) financial assets measured at fair value through other comprehensive income, showing separately the amount of gains or losses recognised in other comprehensive income during the period and the amount reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to profit or loss during the period.
  - ...

### **Changes in the fair value of financial liabilities measured at fair value through profit or loss attributed to the changes in the issuer's own credit risk**

10. 'Deletions or modifications' shall be made to the requirements regarding the disclosure of changes in the fair value of financial liabilities measured at fair value through profit or loss attributed to the changes in the issuer's own credit risk in IFRS 7 in the following manner (new text is underlined and deleted text is struck through):

- 10 If the entity has designated a financial liability as at fair value through profit or loss in accordance with paragraph 4.2.2 of IFRS 9 and is required to present the effects of changes in that liability's credit risk in other comprehensive income (see paragraph 5.7.7 of IFRS 9), it shall disclose:
- (a) the amount of change, cumulatively, in the fair value of the financial liability that is attributable to changes in the credit risk of that liability (see paragraphs B5.7.13–B5.7.20 of IFRS 9 for guidance on determining the effects of changes in a liability's credit risk).

## (Translation for reference purpose only)

- (b) the difference between the financial liability's carrying amount and the amount the entity would be contractually required to pay at maturity to the holder of the obligation.
- (c) ~~[Deleted] any transfers of the cumulative gain or loss within equity during the period including the reason for such transfers.~~
- (d) if a liability is derecognised during the period, the amount (if any) presented in other comprehensive income that was realised at derecognition.

20 An entity shall disclose the following items of income, expense, gains or losses either in the statement of comprehensive income or in the notes:

- (a) net gains or net losses on:
  - (i) financial assets or financial liabilities measured at fair value through profit or loss, showing separately those on financial assets or financial liabilities designated as such upon initial recognition, and those on financial assets or financial liabilities that are mandatorily measured at fair value in accordance with IFRS 9 (eg financial liabilities that meet the definition of held for trading in IFRS 9). For financial liabilities designated as at fair value through profit or loss, an entity shall show separately the amount of gain or loss recognised in other comprehensive income, ~~and~~ the amount recognised in profit or loss and the amount reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to profit or loss during the period.

...

### **Remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset)**

11. 'Deletions or modifications' shall be made to the requirements regarding the disclosure of remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset) in IAS 19 in a following manner (new text is underlined and deleted text is struck through):

135 An entity shall disclose information that:

- (a) explains the characteristics of its defined benefit plans and risks associated with them (see paragraph 139);
- (b) identifies and explains the amounts in its financial statements arising from its defined benefit plans (see paragraphs 140–144 and J-144A); and
- (c) describes how its defined benefit plans may affect the amount, timing and

## **(Translation for reference purpose only)**

uncertainty of the entity's future cash flows (see paragraphs 145–147).

~~J-144A An entity shall disclose the length of the period over which the cumulative amount of remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset) that was recognised in other comprehensive income and accumulated in a separate component of equity is reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to profit or loss as a reclassification adjustment.~~

- 149 If an entity participates in a defined benefit plan that shares risks between entities under common control, it shall disclose:
- (a) the contractual agreement or stated policy for charging the net defined benefit cost or the fact that there is no such policy.
  - (b) the policy for determining the contribution to be paid by the entity.
  - (c) if the entity accounts for an allocation of the net defined benefit cost as noted in paragraph 41, all the information about the plan as a whole required by paragraphs 135–147.
  - (d) if the entity accounts for the contribution payable for the period as noted in paragraph 41, the information about the plan as a whole required by paragraphs 135–137, 139, 142–144, ~~J-144A~~ and 147(a) and (b).

### **Effective Date**

12. An entity shall apply this Standard to consolidated financial statements for annual periods ending on or after 31 March 2016. Regarding quarterly financial reporting, an entity shall apply this Standard to consolidated interim financial statements for quarters within annual periods beginning on or after 1 April 2016.

### **Approval by the Board**

13. This Standard was approved for issuance by all 12 Board members attending the 314th Board meeting of the Accounting Standards Board of Japan. The following Board members attended this Board meeting:

Yukio Ono (Chairman)

Takehiro Arai (Vice Chairman)

Atsushi Kogasaka (Vice Chairman)

**(Translation for reference purpose only)**

Tomokazu Sekiguchi

Aiko Sekine

Yasuyuki Fuchita

Hisayoshi Masawaki

Kazuyuki Masu

Masao Yanaga

Katsuhito Yanagibashi

Minoru Yoshida

Hitoshi Watanabe

## (Translation for reference purpose only)

### **Basis for Conclusions**

#### **History of the project**

14. The Accounting Standards Board of Japan ('ASBJ') started the endorsement process of International Financial Reporting Standards ('IFRS') in line with "The Present Policy on the Application of International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS)" issued in June 2013 by the Business Accounting Council, an advisory body to the Financial Services Agency of Japan. The scope of the initial endorsement process covered the Standards issued by the IASB as at 31 December 2012.
15. Based on the discussions at its Board meetings, the ASBJ decided to make 'deletions or modifications' to the Standards issued by the IASB relating to so-called 'non-recycling', where reclassification adjustments (recycling) will not be made for items previously recognised in other comprehensive income, because the ABSJ determined that the thinking in IFRS is critically different from the fundamental thinking on accounting standards generally accepted in Japan.

#### **Necessity of recycling of other comprehensive income**

16. In existing IFRS, some items included in other comprehensive income are recycled and others are never recycled. Non-recycling is required for the following items:
  - (a) changes in the fair value of investments in equity instruments measured at fair value through other comprehensive income in IFRS 9 *Financial Instruments* (2010);
  - (b) changes in the fair value of financial liabilities measured at fair value through profit or loss attributed to the changes in the issuer's own credit risk in IFRS 9 (2010);
  - (c) remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset) in IAS 19 *Employees Benefits*; and
  - (d) revaluation surplus under the revaluation model in IAS 16 *Property, Plant and Equipment* and IAS 38 *Intangible Assets*.
17. The reasons for adopting non-recycling are explained in the Basis for Conclusions of individual Standards as follows:
  - (a) changes in the fair value of investments in equity instruments measured at fair value through other comprehensive income
    - (i) a gain or loss on those investments should be recognised once only; therefore, recognising a gain or loss in other comprehensive income and subsequently transferring it to profit or loss is inappropriate.
    - (ii) recycling of gains and losses to profit or loss would create something similar to the available-for-sale category in IAS 39 *Financial Instruments: Recognition and*

## **(Translation for reference purpose only)**

*Measurement* and would create the requirement to assess the equity instruments for impairment, which had created application problems. That would not significantly improve or reduce the complexity of financial reporting for financial assets.

- (b) changes in the fair value of financial liabilities measured at fair value through profit or loss attributed to the changes in the issuer's own credit risk
  - (i) the overall objective of other comprehensive income is not clarified, including when an item should be presented in other comprehensive income and whether amounts in other comprehensive income should be reclassified to profit or loss (and if so, when).
  - (ii) this accounting is consistent with the requirement in IFRS 9 that prohibits recycling for investments in equity instruments that are measured at fair value with changes presented in other comprehensive income.
- (c) remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset)
  - (i) there is no consistent policy on the reclassification of other comprehensive income to profit or loss in IFRS, and it would have been premature to address this matter in the context of the amendments made to IAS 19 in 2011.
  - (ii) it is difficult to identify a suitable basis to determine the timing and amount of such reclassifications.
- (d) revaluation surplus under revaluation model for property, plant and equipment and intangible assets

In the Basis for Conclusions of IAS 16 and IAS 38, there is no explanation for the reasons for non-recycling of revaluation surplus under the revaluation model for property, plant and equipment and intangible assets.

18. In contrast, the ASBJ believes that profit or loss should be an all-inclusive measure and, thus, all items included in other comprehensive income should be subsequently recycled to profit or loss, for the following reasons:
- (a) profit or loss, as an overall measure of the performance of an entity, has been used as the basis for various key measures, including earnings per share. It has been noted that, in assessing the value of an entity, users of financial statements often rely on information about the flows in order to assess the prospects of future net cash inflows to the entity, and profit or loss is one of the most useful measures to which they can refer. Those users might think that profit or loss would not be useful if the integrity of profit or loss information is not supported by its consistency with the cash flows.

The accumulated total profit or loss and the accumulated total cash flows over all accounting

## **(Translation for reference purpose only)**

periods since the inception of the entity would be the same when all other comprehensive income items are recycled. On the other hand, if any other comprehensive income item is not recycled, some of the cash flows would never be reflected in profit or loss, which would change the fundamental characteristic of profit or loss and impair the usefulness of profit or loss as an overall measure of performance.

- (b) the accumulated total comprehensive income would also be the same as the accumulated total cash flows over all accounting periods. However, the ASBJ does not think that comprehensive income can be an overall measure of performance to replace profit or loss, because comprehensive income contains changes in the measures of assets and liabilities that are not relevant from the viewpoint of reporting an entity's financial performance. Comprehensive income represents a mere change in net assets during the period on the basis of the measures that may still be subject to the uncertainty of cash flows in the light of the viewpoint of the nature of the investments, whereas profit or loss provides information about the actual results after uncertainty about the outcome of the entity's business activities has been sufficiently reduced in the light of the nature of the investments.

In addition, profit or loss is considered to have more confirmatory value because it provides information about the actual results which provides feedback about assessments made in the past. The *Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting* issued by the IASB states that relevant financial information is capable of making a difference in the decisions made by users if it has predictive value, confirmatory value or both.

- (c) the ASBJ believes that the difference between comprehensive income and profit or loss should only arise from using, for certain assets and liabilities, a measurement basis for the balance sheet that is different from the measurement basis used for determining profit or loss. Accordingly, the difference can be viewed as essentially being a timing difference. In concept, recycling of all items included in other comprehensive income would ensure that the accumulated total profit or loss over all accounting periods since the inception of the entity would equal the accumulated total comprehensive income over all accounting periods since the inception of the entity.
- (d) the ASBJ also believes that recycling of other comprehensive income is necessary from the viewpoint of stewardship. From the viewpoint of stewardship, profit or loss should be all-inclusive and, therefore, even the effects of non-recurring transactions or events should be included in profit or loss because they may affect the assessment of the capability of management.

19. The ASBJ decided to make 'deletions or modifications' to the Standards issued by the IASB to eliminate all of the non-recycling requirements and to require the recycling of all items included in

## **(Translation for reference purpose only)**

other comprehensive income in principle, because the thinking in IFRS not to recycle all items included in other comprehensive income described above is critically different from the fundamental thinking on accounting standards generally accepted in Japan, that is to recycle all items included in other comprehensive income. However, the ASBJ decided not to make ‘deletions or modifications’ to the accounting for revaluation surplus under the revaluation model on property, plant and equipment and intangible assets, considering that it appears to have aspects different from other non-recycling items in that there is a conceptual debate as to whether revaluation surplus is based on the concept of physical capital maintenance.

20. The sections below describe the considerations in deciding on the specific ‘deletions or modifications’ regarding changes in the fair value of investments in equity instruments measured at fair value through other comprehensive income, changes in the fair value of financial liabilities measured at fair value through profit or loss attributed to the changes in the issuer’s own credit risk and remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset).

### **Changes in the fair value of investments in equity instruments measured at fair value through other comprehensive income**

21. IFRS 9 (2010) allows an entity to make an irrevocable election at initial recognition to present in other comprehensive income subsequent changes in the value of an investment in an equity instrument that is not held for trading (paragraph 5.7.5 of IFRS 9 (2010)). Recycling of the amounts presented in other comprehensive income to profit or loss is prohibited, even in the case of subsequent sales (paragraph B5.7.1 of IFRS 9 (2010)).
22. Non-recycling of accumulated other comprehensive income on investments in equity instruments for which the irrevocable option has been elected fails to reflect the ultimate cash flows on its sales in profit or loss.

Some argue that gains or losses on the sales of such investments would not be useful because such gains or losses only represent the past record of the historical stock price movements. However, the ASBJ believes that it is necessary to recycle this item, which in this case would reflect the cash flows from the sales in profit or loss in order to maintain the usefulness of profit or loss information as an overall measure of performance.

In addition, the ASBJ also believes that it is necessary to report such gains or losses when the transactions occur in order to provide information regarding how efficiently and effectively an entity’s management have discharged their responsibilities to use the entity’s assets.

23. Similarly, similar to the treatments of other financial assets and other fixed assets, the ASBJ believes that it is also necessary to recognise impairment losses for investments in equity instruments, when



## **(Translation for reference purpose only)**

the value of such investments declined to a certain extent in order to maintain the usefulness of profit or loss information as an overall measure of performance.

24. Based on the discussions above, the ASBJ decided to make ‘deletions or modifications’ to the Standards issued by the IASB to require that the cumulative gains or losses previously recognised in other comprehensive income should be reclassified to profit or loss as a reclassification adjustment on the derecognition of the investments in equity instruments for which the option had been elected. Consequently, the ASBJ decided to make ‘deletions or modifications’ to the requirement prohibiting subsequent reclassification of other comprehensive income to profit or loss and the requirement allowing transfers within equity. In addition, acknowledging that impairment requirements would be needed for investments in equity instruments for which changes in fair value are recognised in other comprehensive income rather than in profit or loss, the ASBJ decided to make ‘deletions or modifications’ to the Standards issued by the IASB to add the impairment requirements based on paragraph 61 of IAS 39.
25. To be consistent with the ‘deletions or modifications’ to require the recycling of other comprehensive income on investments in equity instruments for which the option has been elected, the ASBJ also considered making ‘deletions or modifications’ to the disclosure requirements. In the light of the disclosures required by paragraphs 11A and 20 of IFRS 7, the ASBJ decided to make ‘deletions or modifications’ to the disclosure requirements regarding transfers of accumulated gains or losses within equity during the period, and to require separate disclosures for the amount of gains or losses recognised in other comprehensive income during the period and the amount reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to profit or loss during the period.

### **Changes in the fair value of financial liabilities measured at fair value through profit or loss attributed to the changes in the issuer’s own credit risk**

26. IFRS 9 (2010) requires an entity to present in other comprehensive income the amount of changes in the fair value of a financial liability designated as at fair value through profit or loss that is attributable to changes in the entity’s own credit risk, unless it creates or enlarges an accounting mismatch in profit or loss (paragraph 5.7.7 of IFRS 9 (2010)). The related accumulated other comprehensive income would not be recycled to profit or loss, even when the liability is derecognised (paragraph B5.7.9 of IFRS 9 (2010)).
27. Non-recycling of other comprehensive income for changes in the fair value of financial liabilities designated as at fair value through profit or loss attributable to the entity’s own credit risk fails to reflect in profit or loss the actual cash flows arising from the prepayment or repurchase of the liabilities. In order to maintain the usefulness of profit or loss information as an overall measure of performance, it is necessary to recycle this item, which in this case would reflect the realised credit

## **(Translation for reference purpose only)**

risk in profit or loss.

Accordingly, the ASBJ decided to make ‘deletions or modifications’ to the Standards issued by the IASB to require that the cumulative gains or losses previously recognised in other comprehensive income should be reclassified to profit or loss as a reclassification adjustment on the derecognition of those financial liabilities, and to make ‘deletions or modifications’ to the requirement prohibiting subsequent reclassification of other comprehensive income to profit or loss and the requirement allowing transfers within equity.

In addition, when an entity repays or repurchases a portion of a financial liability, the ASBJ decided to require the entity to allocate the cumulative gain or loss previously recognised in other comprehensive income between the portion that continues to be recognised and the portion that is derecognised based on the relative fair values of those portions on the date of the repayment or the repurchase. The ASBJ also decided to require the entity to reclassify the amount allocated to the portion that is derecognised from accumulated other comprehensive income to profit or loss as a reclassification adjustment, similar to the requirements in paragraph 3.3.4 of IFRS 9.

28. To be consistent with the ‘deletions or modifications’ to require the recycling of other comprehensive income for the changes in fair value of financial liabilities measured at fair value through profit or loss attributed to the changes in the issuer’s own credit risk, the ASBJ also considered making ‘deletions or modifications’ to the disclosure requirements. The ASBJ decided to make ‘deletions or modifications’ to the disclosure requirements regarding transfers of accumulated gains or losses within equity during the period, and to require the disclosure of the amount reclassified to profit or loss during the period.

### **Remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset)**

29. IAS 19 (revised in 2011) requires remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset) to be immediately recognised in other comprehensive income and prohibits the subsequent reclassification of the amount recognised in other comprehensive income to profit or loss (paragraphs 120 and 122 of IAS 19).
30. Non-recycling of other comprehensive income for remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset) would result in remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (asset) never being reflected in profit or loss, which would impair the all-inclusiveness of profit or loss information and its usefulness as an overall measure of performance. Accordingly, the ASBJ decided to make ‘deletions or modifications’ to the Standards issued by the IASB to require that the cumulative gains or losses on remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (assets) that was previously recognised in other comprehensive income should be subsequently reclassified to profit

## **(Translation for reference purpose only)**

or loss as a reclassification adjustment. Consequently, the ASBJ decided to make ‘deletions or modifications’ to the requirement prohibiting subsequent reclassification of other comprehensive income to profit or loss as well as the requirement allowing transfers within equity.

31. The ASBJ deliberated how to account for remeasurements of the net defined benefit liabilities (assets). Firstly, the ASBJ considered whether they should be immediately recognised in profit or loss when the net defined benefit liabilities (assets) are remeasured or in future periods. The ASBJ believes that it is appropriate to recognise them in profit or loss in future periods, because the immediate recognition of short-term fluctuations of the fair values of plan assets and discount rates in profit or loss would not necessarily be relevant from the viewpoint of reporting financial performance, given that defined benefit plans are of a long-term nature.
32. When remeasurements of the net defined benefit liabilities (assets) are recognised in future periods, the ASBJ believes that such remeasurements should initially be recognised in other comprehensive income and recycled in the subsequent periods. Regarding how to recycle remeasurements of the net defined benefit liabilities (assets), the Exposure Draft proposed to recycle the amount over the average remaining working lives, as a proxy for recycling remeasurements based on when the actual payments are made to individual employees. This was based on the notion of recycling the accumulated other comprehensive income corresponding to the defined benefit obligations and plan assets that have decreased due to the payments of retirement benefits to individual employees.
33. Because some respondents suggested that the rationale for recycling in the Exposure Draft was not sufficiently persuasive, the ASBJ redeliberated this issue after the comment period ended. In the redeliberations, it was suggested that remeasurements of the net defined benefit liabilities (assets) should be recycled over the average remaining working lives because it would be appropriate to allocate them in relation to the services provided by employees. This presumes that employee benefits are paid when an employee provides services and that the net defined benefit liabilities (assets) are calculated based on the assumption that retirement benefits to be paid as consideration for the services provided by employees will be allocated over their working lives.
34. The notion in the Exposure Draft, as described in paragraph 32, was based on the view that the effects of differences in the estimates arising on the net defined benefit liabilities (assets) should be retained until the derecognition of related assets or liabilities and that they should be recognised in profit or loss (recycled) on their derecognition. On the other hand, the notion described in paragraph 33 is based on the view that the effects of differences in estimates arising on the net defined benefit liabilities (assets) should be allocated to future periods in relation to services provided by employees and recognised in profit or loss in those periods. Both notions gained support in the redeliberations and both notions support the accounting treatment proposed in the Exposure Draft. Also referring to the existing Japanese accounting standards, the ASBJ decided

## **(Translation for reference purpose only)**

that remeasurements of the net defined benefit liabilities (assets) should be recycled over the average remaining working lives.

35. In connection with the decision to require the recycling of remeasurements of the net defined liability (asset), the ASBJ also considered whether to make ‘deletions or modifications’ in relation to the following issues:
  - (a) past service cost; and
  - (b) the net interest approach.
36. IAS 19 requires an entity to recognise past service cost as an expense in the period when they are incurred. Some suggested that ‘deletions or modifications’ should be made to this requirement and require the recognition of past service cost on a prospective basis, because plan amendments, which give rise to past service cost, are often made in anticipation of enhancing employees’ morale over future periods and, therefore, it is inappropriate to immediately recognise past service cost as an expense.
37. Under the net interest approach in IAS 19, expected returns on plan assets are determined by multiplying the discount rate with the fair value of the plan assets. Some also suggested that ‘deletions or modifications’ should be made to this requirement because this approach does not provide a faithful representation of the expected returns on the plan assets as it ignores factors such as the composition of the plan assets and the risk profile of the portfolio, which actually affect the expectations of the returns on the plan assets.
38. However, from the viewpoint of minimising ‘deletions or modifications’ to the extent possible, the ASBJ decided not to make ‘deletions or modifications’ regarding the two issues mentioned above, limiting the ‘deletions or modifications’ to non-recycling of other comprehensive income and not addressing the broader issue of how to determine the amounts to be recognised in other comprehensive income .
39. To be consistent with the ‘deletions or modifications’ to require the recycling of other comprehensive income for remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability (assets), the ASBJ also considered making ‘deletions or modifications’ to the disclosure requirements. The ASBJ decided to require disclosure of the length of the period over which the amount of remeasurements recognised in other comprehensive income is reclassified to profit or loss, in order to provide users of financial statements with the information regarding how the net defined benefit liability (assets) is to be recycled.

## (Translation for reference purpose only)

### NOTICE

#### Copyright

This standard contains copyright material of the International Financial Reporting Standards Foundation® (“Foundation”)® in respect of which all rights are reserved.

Reproduced and distributed by the Financial Accounting Standards Foundation (“FASF”) and the Accounting Standards Board of Japan (“ASBJ”) with the permission of the International Financial Reporting Standards Foundation subject to the restrictions contained in this Notice. No rights granted to third parties to commercially reproduce, store in a retrieval system or transmit in any form or in any means without the prior written permission of the FASF and the Foundation.

In particular, as a condition of using the materials on this website, users (“Users”) agree that:

1. Users shall not, without prior written agreement of the FASF and the Foundation have the right to license, sublicense, sell, rent, or otherwise distribute any portion of Japan’s Modified International Standards (“JMIS”) to third parties.
2. Users and any other third parties do not have the right to reproduce, in either hard copy or electronic format, the text of any specific document, extract or combination thereof for any seminar, conference, training or similar commercial event without the prior written permission of the FASF and the Foundation.
3. Users are obliged to obtain the approval from the FASF and the Foundation to produce more copies than those permitted by the fair copying provisions of the copyright legislation in the respective territory from which they access JMIS or to sublicense JMIS as per paragraph 1 of this Notice or to use JMIS for one of the purposes set out in paragraph 2 of this Notice.
4. Each of the FASF and the Foundation reserves the right to make additional charges for use in accordance with the paragraphs 1 to 3 of this Notice.
5. If any User breaches any of the provisions of paragraphs 1 to 3 of this Notice their right to use JMIS shall forthwith terminate.
6. Please address any requests regarding this Notice to [jmis@asb.or.jp](mailto:jmis@asb.or.jp) for the FASF or [publications@ifrs.org](mailto:publications@ifrs.org) for the Foundation.

#### Disclaimer

JMIS are issued by the Accounting Standards Board of Japan (“ASBJ”) in respect of its application to Japanese entities and have not been prepared or endorsed by the International Accounting Standards Board (“IASB”). The IASB, the Foundation, the ASBJ and the publishers do not accept responsibility for any loss caused by acting or refraining from acting in reliance on the material in this publication, whether such loss is caused by negligence or otherwise.

#### Restriction

JMIS are not to be distributed outside Japan save for the use by the following:

1. investors and potential investors in Japanese companies, who may want to gain understanding of JMIS, and
2. subsidiaries and associates of Japanese parent companies, which are incorporated and/or based outside of Japan.